

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,554	06/30/2003	Michael S. Baxter	7401-US1	5017
7:	590 10/11/2005		EXAM	INER
Thomas F. Lenihan			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
TEKTRONIX,	INC.		-	
M/S 50-LAW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 500			3729	
Beaverton, OR	97077-0001			

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		L			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/611,554	BAXTER, MICHAEL S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rick K. Chang	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. pply be timely filed IFHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/	/30/03.				
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice unde		-			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p					
application from the International Bure		ū			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08). 5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/611,554

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 2-7, drawn to a tool, classified in class 29, subclass 758.
- II. Claims 8-19, drawn to a measuring circuitry, classified in class 324, subclass 758.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Claim 1 links the inventions Groups I-II. The restriction requirement of the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim, claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 f.2d 1211, 1275, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.
- 3. Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, Group I has separate utility such as removing an electronic component from a printed circuit board, while Group II has separate utility such as comparing forces produced by a robot. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,554

Art Unit: 3729

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

- 6. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

Art Unit: 3729

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINES

RC October 6, 2005